

The hidden architecture of global power

Global cooperation has not collapsed, it has slipped out of view, quietly transforming into something less visible but more decisive. The prevailing narrative suggests institutions are failing, multilateralism is weakening, and coordination among States is breaking down. But this conclusion rests on a flawed assumption that cooperation exists primarily through institutions.

What we are witnessing is not the erosion of cooperation, but its displacement. For most of the 20th Century, cooperation was negotiated. States met, bargained, and produced agreements that structured behaviour. Institutions embodied this model, and their authority depended on consensus and legitimacy. That model no longer defines the system. Today, coordination increasingly occurs without agreement, it is embedded in structure, operating beneath the surface of formal diplomacy.

Global supply chains illustrate this shift. They coordinate pro-

cesses, technological infrastructures, and data environments domains institutions do not control directly. This creates a structural mismatch between authority and influence.

Institutions attempt to manage outcomes, but outcomes are determined elsewhere, often before diplomacy even begins. In major crises, formal coordination appears limited. Yet outcomes continue to evolve. Sanctions reshape economies, supply chains redirect flows, and access to technology defines capability and strategic advantage.

The third shift is that reform cannot restore institutional centrality. Reforms may improve legitimacy and efficiency, but they do not address the underlying transformation. The centre of gravity has moved from negotiation to structure. Global governance is no longer primarily institutional, it is systemic and embedded.

Power lies in shaping the environment in which actors operate. This does not require persuasion, it requires positioning within critical nodes and networks. Trade illustrates this clearly. Rules remain, but behaviour is shaped by control over supply chains, access to technology, and restrictions on key inputs such as semiconductors.

Structure determines outcomes, rules follow, often adapting after the fact. The Covid-19 pandemic exposed this reality. Institutions coordinated responses, but vaccine distribution followed capacity, logistics, and purchasing power rather than global consensus.

Cooperation did not fail, it was subordinated to structure, revealing the true hierarchy of power. This leads to the central insight: global cooperation is no longer defined by agreement; it is defined by architecture. Who controls production, data, and access determines outcomes, often before decisions are formally made?

Institutions still matter, they provide legitimacy and coordination, but they no longer define power or determine final outcomes. They operate within systems shaped by those who control infrastructure, platforms, and networks.

The paradox is clear. Cooperation appears weaker, yet interdependence is stronger and more binding than ever. The world is not becoming less cooperative, it is becoming less transparent in how cooperation is enforced and structured.

Power lies in shaping the environment in which actors operate.



Samuel Obedgiu
Politics



duction across continents without a central authority. No treaty governs them, no institution enforces compliance, and yet they function with remarkable precision and resilience. Actors do not need to agree, they need to remain connected. Dependency replaces agreement as the organising principle, disruption is costly and exit is constrained, often prohibitively so.

This is cooperation without consent, it operates through structural pressure. Actors align not because they agree, but because deviation imposes cost and exclusion from the system. This form of cooperation is less visible but more decisive.

The second shift is that institutions are not failing, they are being bypassed. They were designed to govern diplomacy, but power has migrated beyond it into deeper systemic layers. It now operates through finan-

The writer is a geopolitician and biotechnologist.
sammyobedgiu@gmail.com

The Editor welcomes 450-word comments on topical issues. Prefer