

Africa charts trade path beyond WTO

TRADE STRATEGY

JANE
NALUNGA

The outcome of the 14th Ministerial Conference of the World Trade Organisation (WTO) in Yaoundé, Cameroon, which concluded on March 30 2026, has once again laid bare the structural weaknesses of the multilateral trading system. Rather than delivering substantive outcomes on Africa's long-standing development concerns and demands, the Ministerial Conference ended in deadlock, reinforcing growing questions about the WTO's ability to deliver concrete, binding outcomes on issues central to the continent's development agenda and quest for structural economic transformation.

After four days of negotiations, ministers were only able to adopt three limited decisions on integrating small economies into the multilateral trading system, a political decision taking note of work done on special and differential treatment in standards-related measures and a political decision to continue the fisheries subsidies negotiations. Meanwhile, the most critical issues, including WTO reform and the Least Developed Countries package, were deferred to Geneva for further discussion. This outcome reinforces a long-standing trend in which difficult decisions are postponed, leaving core development concerns unresolved.

In the aftermath of the deadlock, the 166 WTO members opted to move negotiations back to Geneva in an attempt to salvage progress in five specified areas identified by the MC14 chair.

However, the draft texts developed in Yaoundé, including those on WTO Reform, and the two moratoria on customs duties on electronic transmissions and TRIPS non-violation and situation complaints carry no binding legal status in which members are required to use as the basis for negotiations in Geneva. Their uncertain standing means that members may reopen negotiations or introduce new proposals, potentially making the process more complex and prolonged.



Ms Jane Nalunga, the executive director of Southern and Eastern Africa Trade Information and Negotiations Institute. PHOTO/MICHAEL KAKUMIRIZI

At Yaoundé, the failure to renew the WTO's long-standing moratorium on customs duties for electronic transmissions exposed deep divisions over digital trade rules and highlighted the risks for developing regions, particularly Africa. While some developed countries led by the US pushed for longer or permanent extensions, others resisted, arguing that the moratorium limits the ability of developing countries to generate revenue and maintain policy space for digital transformation. For Africa, where digital infrastructure and participation in global digital supply chains remain uneven, the lapse underscores the urgent need for inclusive rule-making that safeguards policy space, supports digital infrastructure development, and ensures equitable access to the benefits of the global digital economy. These objectives would also be in full alignment with the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) Digital Trade Protocol which mandates no customs duties amongst African platforms but does not foreclose this policy space for African members to levy duties on platforms outside Africa.

Agriculture

Agriculture, a central pillar for African economies, once again saw no meaningful progress. Negotiations stalled amid calls to reset the agenda, explore "new approaches", leaving unresolved yet again the critical issues such as domestic support, market access, public stockholding for food security, and special safeguard mechanisms. The continued lack of outcomes in this area underscores a longstanding imbalance in the trading system, where development-oriented concerns are relegated to the sidelines to appease the commercial interests of net farm exporting Members.

Africa's trade strategy must, therefore, evolve to reflect this dual reality. Defending key interests within the WTO remains essential, in areas such as agriculture, food security, and development flexibilities. At the same time, there is a need to deepen regional integration and strengthen alternative platforms that can deliver tangible outcomes. Expanding intra-African trade, building productive capacity, and advancing regional value chains are critical components of this approach.

The conference brought renewed focus to the growing role of plurilateral agreements, particularly the Investment Facilitation for Development Agreement. Supported by over 120 members, proponents are seeking to integrate this agreement into the WTO framework despite the absence of full consensus. This approach raises con-

Key takeaways

- Africa must adopt a more strategic and coordinated approach to emerging global trade related issues. This involves not only participating in negotiations but shaping them to ensure that new rules support structural transformation, industrialisation, and technological development across the continent.

cerns about the erosion of the WTO's consensus-based model and the risk of increasing fragmentation within the system. The expansion of such agreements could create a multi-speed trading system with differing obligations, potentially weakening coherence and inclusivity.

Developing countries faced pressure to adopt the Investment Facilitation for Development Agreement (IFDA), touted as a tool to streamline investment and enhance transparency, but it risks limiting policy space and prioritising foreign investors' interests over Africa's development and regulatory autonomy.

For African countries, this trend presents a complex challenge. While plurilateral initiatives may offer opportunities in areas such as investment attraction, they also carry risks of constraining domestic policy space and introducing obligations that may not align with domestic development priorities. Existing continental frameworks, such as the African Continental Free Trade Area, provide an alternative basis for shaping trade and investment rules in a manner that is more responsive to Africa's specific needs and development goals.

The broader global context also shaped the outcome of the ministerial. Economic pressures, geopolitical tensions, and rising costs of living limited the flexibility of governments to make concessions or extend negotiations. At the same time, evolving negotiation practices within the WTO, including informal consultations and smaller group discussions, risk diluting the collective bargaining power of developing countries, even as African members attempt to maintain coordinated positions.

The writer is executive director of SEATINI.

Rules

'The AfCFTA provides an alternative basis for shaping trade and investment rules in a manner that is more responsive to Africa's needs and development goals.'